A Modular Neurocontroller for a Sensor-Driven Reactive Behavior of Biologically Inspired Walking Machines
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Abstract—In this article, a modular neurocontroller is presented. It has the capability to generate a reactive behavior of walking machines. The neurocontroller is formed on the basis of a modular structure. It consists of the three different functionality modules: neural preprocessing, a neural oscillator network and velocity regulating networks. Neural preprocessing is for sensory signal processing. The neural oscillator network, based on a central pattern generator, generates the rhythmic movement for basic locomotion of the walking machines while the velocity regulating networks change the walking directions of the machines with respect to the sensory inputs. As a result, this neurocontroller enables the machines to explore in- and outdoor environments by avoiding obstacles and escaping from corners or deadlock situations. It was firstly developed and tested on a physical simulation environment, and then was successfully transferred to the six-legged walking machine AMOS-WD06.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The idea behind this article is to investigate the neural mechanisms controlling biologically inspired walking machines represented as sensor-driven systems. The systems are designed in a way that they can react to real environmental stimuli (positive or negative tropism) as they sense without concern for task planning algorithm or memory capacities.

Research in the domain of biologically inspired walking machines has been ongoing for over 10 years. Most of them has been focussed on the construction of such machines\cite{6,14,17,29,31} on a dynamic gait control\cite{25,33} and on the generation of an advanced locomotion control\cite{2,11,22}, for instance on rough terrain\cite{4,5,13,18,21}. In general, these walking machines were solely designed for the purpose of motion without the sensing of environmental stimuli. However, from this research area, only few have presented physical walking machines reacting to an environmental stimulus using different approaches\cite{1,3,20,30}.

On the one hand, this shows that less attention has been paid to the walking machines performing a reactive behavior. On the other hand, such complex systems can serve as a methodology for study embodied systems consisting of sensors and actuators for explicit agent-environment interactions or they can display as artificial perception-action systems.

Here, the biologically inspired six-legged walking machine AMOS-WD06\footnote{Advanced MObility Sensor driven-Walking Device 06.} is employed as an experimental device for the development and testing of a neurocontroller causing a sensor-driven reactive behavior. This neurocontroller is created on the basis of a modular structure; i.e. it is flexible to adapt for controlling in different walking machines\cite{27} and it is even able to modify for generating different reactive behaviors, e.g. sound tropism (positive tropism)\cite{28}. In this article, it is constructed in the way that it enables the walking machine to avoid the obstacles (negative tropism) by changing the rhythmic leg movements of the thoracic joints. Furthermore, it also prevents the walking machine from getting stuck in corners or deadlock situations by applying hysteresis effects provided by the recurrent neural network of the neural preprocessing module.

The following section describes the technical specifications of the walking machine together with its physical simulator. Section 3 explains a modular neurocontroller together with the subnetworks (modules) for a reactive obstacle-avoidance behavior. The experiments and results are discussed in section 4. Conclusions and an outlook on future research are given in the last section.

II. THE BIOLOGICALLY INSPIRED WALKING MACHINE AMOS-WD06

The AMOS-WD06\textsuperscript{26} consists of six identical legs and each leg has three joints (three degrees of freedom (DOF)) which is somewhat similar to a cockroach leg\textsuperscript{32}. The upper joint of the legs, called thoracic joint, can move the leg forward and backward while the middle and lower joints, called basal and distal joints respectively, are used for elevation and depression or even for extension and flexion of the leg. The levers which are attached to distal joints were built with proportional to the dimension of the
machine. And they are kept short to avoid greater torque in
the actuators. This leg configuration provides the machine
with the possibility to perform omnidirectional walking;
i.e. the machine can walk forward, backward, lateral and
turn with different radii. Additionally, the machine can also
perform a diagonal forward or backward motion to the left
or the right by activating the forward or backward motion
together with the lateral left or right motion. The high
mobility of the legs enables the walking machine to walk
over an obstacle, stand in an upside-down position or even
climb over obstacles (see Fig. 1).

![Fig. 1. (A) The AMOS-WD06 walks over an obstacle with the maximum
height of 7 cm. (B) stands in an upside-down position and (C) climbs over
obstacles with the help of an active backbone joint (arrow).](image)

Inspired by invertebrate morphology of the american
cockroach’s trunk and its motion (see Fig. 2), a backbone
joint which can rotate in a horizontal axis was constructed.
It is desired to imitate like a connection between the first
(T1) and second (T2) thoracic of a cockroach. Thus, it will
provide enough movement for the machine to climb over
an obstacle by rearing the front legs up to reach the top of
an obstacle and then bending them downward during step
climbing (compare Fig. 1C).

![Fig. 2. A cockroach climbs over large obstacles. It can bend its trunk
downward at the joint between the first (T1) and second (T2) thoracic to
keep the legs close to the top surface of the obstacles for an optimum
climbing position and even to prevent unstable actions (modified from
R.E. Ritzmann 2004 [32] with permission).](image)

However, this active backbone joint will not be activated
in a normal walking condition of the machine. Mainly, it
is used to connect the trunk (second thoracic), where two
middle legs and two hind legs are attached, with the head
(first thoracic), where two forelegs are installed. The trunk
and the head are formed with the maximum symmetry to
keep the machine balanced for the stability of walking.
They are also designed to be as narrow as possible to ensure
optimal torque from the supporting legs to the center line
of the trunk. Moreover, a tail with two DOF rotating in the
horizontal and vertical axes was implemented on the back
of the trunk. The tail was motivated by a scorpion tail
with sting which can actively move [10]. All leg joints are
driven by analog servomotors producing a torque between
80 and 100 Ncm. The backbone joint is driven by a digital
servomotor with a torque between 200 and 220 Ncm. For
the tail joints, micro-analog servomotors with a torque
around 20 Ncm were selected. The height of the walking
machine is 12 cm without its tail and the weight of the fully
equipped robot (including 21 servomotors, all electronic
components and a mobile processor) is approximately 4.2
kg. In addition, the walking machine has six Infra-Red (IR)
sensors. Two of them, which can detect the obstacle at
a long distance between 20-150 cm, were fixated at the
forehead while the rest of them, operating at a shorter
distance between 4-30 cm, were fixated at the two forelegs
and two middle legs. They help the walking machine to
develop obstacles and prevent its legs from hitting obstacles,
like chair or desk legs. Also, one upside-down detector
was implemented beside the machine trunk. It provides
the information of upside-down position of the walking
machine. On the tail, a mini wireless camera with a built
in microphone was installed for monitoring and observing
the environment while walking.

All in all the AMOS-WD06 has 21 active degrees of
freedom, 7 sensors and 1 wireless camera, and therefore
it can serve as a reasonably complex platform for ex-
periments concerning the functioning of a neural sensor-
driven system. However, to test neurocontrollers and to
observe the reactive behavior of the walking machine
(e.g. obstacle avoidance), it was firstly done through a
physical simulation environment, namely “Yet Another
Robot Simulator” (YARS)\(^2\). The simulator is based on
Open Dynamics Engine (ODE) [34]. It provides a defined
set of geometries, joints, motors and sensors which is
adequate to create the AMOS-WD06 with IR sensors in
a virtual environment with obstacles. The basic features of
the simulated walking machine are closely coupled to the
physical walking machine, e.g. weight, dimension, motor
torque and so on. It consists of body parts (head, backbone
joint, trunk and limbs), servomotors, IR sensors and an
additional tail. The simulated walking machine with its
virtual environment is shown in Fig. 3.

Furthermore, the simulator enables an implementation,
which is faster than real time and which is precise enough
to present the corresponding behavior of the physical walk-
ing machine. This simulation environment is also connected
to the Integrated Structure Evolution Environment (ISEE)
[24] which is a software platform for developing neuro-
controllers. In the final stage, a developed neurocontroller
after the test on the simulator is then applied to the

\(^2\)http://www.ais.fraunhofer.de/INDY/, see menu item TOOLS.
physical walking machine to demonstrate the behavior in the real environment. The controller is then programmed into a mobile processor (a personal digital assistant (PDA)) with an update frequency of up to 75 Hz. The PDA is interfaced with the Multi-Servo IO-Board (MBoard) which digitizes sensory input signals and generates a pulse width modulation (PWM) signal at a period of 20 ms to command the servomotors. The communication between the PDA and the MBoard is accomplished via an RS232 interface at 57.6 kBits per second.

III. NEURAL PERCEPTION-ACTION SYSTEMS

In order to create the robust and effective neurocontroller which is able to generate exploration and reactive obstacle avoidance behaviors, the dynamical properties of recurrent neural networks are utilized. The standard additive neuron model with sigmoidal transfer function together with its time-discrete dynamics is given by

\[ a_i(t+1) = B_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n} W_{ij} \tanh(a_j(t)) \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \] (1)

where \( n \) denotes the number of units, \( a_i \) their activity, \( B_i \) represents a fixed internal bias term together with a stationary input to neuron \( i \), and \( W_{ij} \) the synaptic strength of the connection from neuron \( j \) to neuron \( i \). The output of the neurons is given by the sigmoid \( \tanh(a_i) \). Input units are configured as linear buffers. The modular neurocontroller for the desired behaviors are divided into three subnetworks (modules) which are the signal processing network, the neural oscillator network and the velocity regulating network. All networks are described in detail in the following sections.

A. Signal processing network

The perception systems are driven by using six IR sensors. The minimal recurrent controller (MRC) structure [23] is applied for preprocessing IR signals. This controller has been originally developed for controlling a miniature Khepera robot [7], which is a two wheeled platform. Here, it is modified for controlling the walking directions of the machine to avoid obstacles or escape from a corner and even a deadlock situation.

To do so, all signals of IR sensors (IR1, IR2, IR3, IR4, IR5 and IR6) are mapped onto the interval \([-1, +1]\), with \(-1\) representing ”no obstacles”, and \(+1\) ”an obstacle is detected”. Then, the three sensory signals on each side (right or left) are simply combined in a linear domain of the sigmoid transfer function at hidden neurons; i.e. each of them is multiplied with a small weight, here \( W_{1,2,3,4,5,6} = 0.15 \). The output signals of the hidden neurons are directly connected to the output neurons (Out1, Out2) while the final output signals of the network (Out1, Out2) will be connected to another network called the velocity regulating networks (VRNs) described later. The parameters of the preprocessing network were manually adjusted on the basis of its well understood functionality [23].

First, the bias term \( B \) of the hidden neurons is set to determine a threshold value of the sum of the sensory inputs, e.g. 0.2. When the measured value is greater than the threshold in any of the three sensory signals, excitation of the hidden neuron on the corresponding side occurs. Consequently, the activation of each hidden neuron can vary in the range between \( \sim -0.245 \) (“no obstacles is detected”) and \( \sim 0.572 \) (“all three sensors on the appropriate side simultaneously detect obstacles”).

Furthermore, the weights from the hidden to the output units are set to a high value, i.e. \( W_{7,8} = 25 \), to eliminate the noise of the sensory signals. In fact, these high multiplicative weights drive the signals to switch between two saturation domains, one low (\( \sim -1 \)) and the other high (\( \sim +1 \)). After that, the self-connection weights \( W_{9,10} \) of Out1 and Out2 were manually adjusted to derive a reasonable hysteresis input interval which results to an appropriate turning angle of the walking machine when the obstacles are detected. Hereby, they are set to 4. Finally, the recurrent connections \( W_{11,12} \) between output neurons were symmetrized and manually adjusted to the value of -2.5. This guarantees the optimal functionality described later. The resulting network is shown in Fig. 4.

The set-up parameters cause that the network can eliminate the noise of the sensory signals. The network can even determine the turning angle in accordance with the width of the hysteresis loop; i.e. the wider the loop, the larger the turning angle is. The capability of the network in filtering the sensory noise together with the hysteresis loop of the network are shown in Fig. 5.

In addition, there is a third hysteresis phenomenon involved which is associated to the even loop [8] between the two output neurons. In general conditions, only one neuron at a time is able to get a positive output, while the other one has a negative output, and vice versa. The phenomenon is presented in Fig. 6.

By applying the described phenomena, the walking machine is able to avoid the obstacles and escape from corners as well as deadlock situations. Finally, Out1
Fig. 4. The signal processing network of six IR sensors with the appropriate weights for controlling the walking direction of the machine to avoid obstacles and to prevent the machine from getting stuck in corners or deadlock situations.

Fig. 5. (A) The sensory signal (IR5, gray line) before preprocessing and the output signal (Output2, solid line) after preprocessing. (B) The “hysteresis effects” between input and output signals of Output2. In this situation, the input of Output2 varies between \(\approx -0.245\) and \(\approx 0.572\) back and forth while the input of Output1 is set to \(\approx -0.245\) (no obstacles are on the right side). Here, when the output of Output2 is active (\(\approx 1\)); i.e., “obstacles are on the left side”, then the walking machine will be driven to turn right until the output becomes inactivated (\(\approx -1\)). On the other hand, if such condition occurs for Output1, the input of Output1 will derive the same hysteresis effect as the input of Output2 does.

Fig. 6. (A) and (B) present the sensory signals (IR1 and IR4, gray line) and the output signals (Output1 and Output2, solid line), respectively. Due to the inhibitory synapses between two output neurons and the high activity of Output1 (A), Output2 (B) is still inactive although IR4 becomes activated at around 170 time steps. At around 320 time steps, the switching condition between Output1 and Output2 occurs because IR3 becomes inactivated, meaning “no obstacles detected” while IR4 is still active, meaning “obstacles detected”.

B. Neural oscillator network for the locomotion

The concept of neural oscillators for walking machines has been studied in various works, e.g. [12]. There, a neural oscillator network with four neurons is constructed by connecting four neural oscillator’s, each of which drives each hip joint of the legs of a four-legged robot TEKKEN. Here a so-called “2-neuron network” [9] is employed. It is used as a central pattern generator (CPG) which corresponds to the basic principle of locomotion control of walking animals [19]. The network consists of two neurons (see Fig. 7A). It has already been implemented successfully on other walking machines [16], [27]. The same weight matrices presented there are used here. Consequently, it generates the oscillating output signals corresponding to a quasi-periodic attractor (see Fig. 7B). They are used to drive the motors directly for generating the appropriate locomotion of the AMOS-WD06.

Fig. 7. (A) The structure of the 2-neuron network with the synaptic weights for the purpose. \(B1\) and \(B2\) are bias terms with \(B1 = B2 = 0.01\). (B) The output signals of neurons 1 (dashed line) and 2 (solid line) from the neural oscillator network. The output of neuron 1 is used to drive all thoracic joints and the activated backbone joint while the output of neuron 2 is used to drive all basal and all distal joints.

This network is implemented on a PDA with an update frequency of 25.6 Hz. It generates a sinusoidal output with a frequency of approximately 0.8 Hz. By using symmetric output weights, a typical tripod gait for the six-legged walking machine is obtained. This typical gait enables an efficient motion, where the diagonal legs are paired and move together.
C. The velocity regulating network

To change the motions, e.g. from walking forward to backward and to turning left and right, the simplest way is to perform a 180 degree phase shift of the sinusoidal signals which drive the thoracic joints. To do so, the velocity regulating network (VRN) is introduced. The network used is taken from [15]. It performs an approximate multiplication-like function of two input values \( x, y \in [-1, +1] \). For this purpose the input \( x \) is the oscillating signal coming from the neural oscillator network to generate the locomotion and the input \( y \) is the sensory signal coming from the neural preprocessing network to drive the reactive behavior. The output signal of the network will be used to drive the thoracic joints. Fig. 8A presents the network consisting of four hidden neurons and one output neuron. Fig. 8B shows that the output signal which gets a phase shift of 180 degrees, when the sensory signal (input \( y \)) changes from -1 to +1.

![Fig. 8](image)

Fig. 8. (A) The VRN with four hidden neurons and the given bias terms \( B \) which are all equal to -2.48285. The input \( x \) is the oscillating signal coming from the neural oscillator and the input \( y \) is the output signal of the neural preprocessing. (B) The output signal (solid line) when the input \( y \) is equal to +1 and the output signal (dashed line) when the input \( y \) is equal to -1.

D. The modular neurocontroller

The combination of all three networks (modules) leads to an effective neural network for reactive behavior control in changing environments. On the one hand, one oscillating output signal from the neural oscillator network is directly connected to all basal and distal joints. On the other hand, the other output is connected to the thoracic joints only indirectly, passing through all hidden neurons of the VRNs through the so called \( x \)-inputs. The outputs of the signal processing network are also connected to all hidden neurons of the VRNs as \( y \)-inputs. Thus, the rhythmic leg movement is generated by the neural oscillator network and the steering capability of the walking machine is realized by the VRNs in accordance with the outputs of the signal processing network. The structure of this controller and the location of the corresponding motor neurons on the walking machine AMOS-WD06 are shown in Fig. 9.

![Fig. 9](image)

Fig. 9. (A) The modular neurocontroller. It generates a tripod gait which is modified when obstacles are detected. The bias terms \( B \) of the VRNs are again all equal to -2.48285. Six IR sensors are directly connected to the input neurons of the signal processing network. If the obstacle is detected, the outputs of the signal processing make the walking machine turn because the VRNs change the quasi-periodic signals at the thoracic joints. (B) The layout of all motor and input neurons.

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

The performance of the modular neural network shown in Fig. 9 is firstly tested on the physical simulation with a complex environment (see Fig. 3), and then it is downloaded into the mobile processor of the AMOS-WD06 for a test on the physical autonomous robot. The simulated walking machine and the physical walking machine behave qualitatively. The sensory information of IR sensors is used to modify the machine behavior as expected from a perception-action system. If the obstacles are presented on either the right or the left side, the controller will change the rhythmic movement at the thoracic joints of the legs, causing the walking machine to turn on the spot and immediately avoiding the obstacles. In some situations, like approaching a corner or a deadlock situation, the preprocessing network decides the turning direction.
The modification of the motor neurons with respect to the sensory inputs is exemplified in Fig. 10.

$M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$ of the thoracic joints (compare Fig. 9, left) are turned into the opposite direction because one of the left sensors (here, $IR_4$) detects the obstacle. On the other hand, $M_3$, $M_4$ and $M_5$ of the thoracic joints are turned into the opposite direction when, at least, one of the right sensors (here, $IR_1$) is active (compare Fig. 9, right). In special situations, e.g. walking toward a wall or detecting obstacles on both sides, IR sensors of both side may be active simultaneously. Thus, $M_0$, $M_1$, $M_2$, $M_3$, $M_4$ and $M_5$ of the thoracic joints are reversed which causes the walking machine to walk backward and eventually it is able to leave the wall.

Fig. 11 presents the example reactive behavior of the walking machine driven by the sensory inputs together with the modular neurocontroller. A series of photos on the left column in Fig. 11 shows that the walking machine can escape from a deadlock situation and it can also protect its legs from colliding with the legs of a chair (see middle column in Fig. 11). Moreover, it was even able to turn away from the unknown obstacles which were firstly sensed by the sensors at the forehead and then were detected by the sensors on the left legs (see right column in Fig. 11).

![Fig. 10. Left: The left sensor ($IR_4$) detected the obstacle while the other sensors ($IR_1$, $IR_2$, $IR_3$, $IR_5$ and $IR_6$) did not detect the obstacle; this caused motor neurons ($M_0$, $M_1$ and $M_2$) on its right to change into the opposite direction. As a result, the walking machine will turn right. Right: If the obstacle is detected at the right of the walking machine (here, it was detected by only $IR_1$), then the motor neurons ($M_3$, $M_4$ and $M_5$) on its left are reversed. Consequently, the walking machine will turn left.](image1)

![Fig. 11. Examples of the behavior driven by the IR sensors of the AMOS-WD06. Left: The AMOS-WD06 escaped from a corner-like deadlock situation without getting stuck. Middle: It was able to protect its legs from colliding with the leg of a chair which was detected by the sensors installed on the right legs. Right: It turned away from the unknown obstacles which were detected by the sensors at the forehead ($IR_1$ and $IR_5$) and then at the left legs ($IR_5$ and $IR_6$).](image2)

As demonstrated, the modular neurocontroller is adequate to successfully complete the obstacle avoidance task. Due to the capability of the controller, the walking machine can perform an exploration task or a wandering behavior without getting stuck in the corner or the deadlock-like situation.
V. CONCLUSIONS

The six-legged walking machine AMOS-WD06 is presented as a reasonably complex robot platform to test a neurocontroller generating the robust sensor-driven exploration and obstacle avoidance behaviors. The modular neurocontroller was designed as a neural network consisting of a signal processing network for preprocessing IR signals, a neural oscillator network for generating basic locomotion, and the velocity regulating network (VRN) for changing the locomotion appropriately. The controller is used to generate the walking gait and to perform the reactive behavior; for instance, exploring an indoor environment by wandering around, avoiding obstacles when they are detected, and leaving from a corner-like deadlock situation. The controller has been tested successfully in the physical simulation environment as well as on the real world walking machine. Thus we were able to reproduce these basic behaviors, generally achieved for wheeled robots, also for a machine with many degrees of freedom. The generated behaviors are of course essential for an autonomous walking machine. More demanding tasks will be related to the use of additional sensors. Therefore, future research will we make use of auditory signals coming from a stereo auditory sensor. It will be used for navigation based on sound tropism. Finally all these different reactive behaviors will be fused into one modular neurocontroller, where modules have to cooperate or compete as in versatile perception-action systems.
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